

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

BECKLEY DIVISION

ENRIQUE LOPEZ-RUELAS,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:12-cv-09136

JOEL ZIEGLER,

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On December 18, 2012, the Petitioner filed an *Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis* (Document 1) and an *Application Under 28 U.S.C. §2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody* (Document 2). By *Standing Order* (Document 4) entered on December 18, 2012, the matter was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636.

On May 5, 2015, the Magistrate Judge submitted a *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* (Document 5) wherein it is recommended that this Court deny the Petitioner's *Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis*, dismiss the Petitioner's *Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody*, and remove this matter

from the Court's docket. Objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* were due by May 22, 2015¹.

Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*. The Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). Failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the Petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also Snyder v. Ridenour*, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984).

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*, and **ORDERS** that the Petitioner's *Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis* (Document 1) be **DENIED**, the Petitioner's *Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody* (Document 2) be **DISMISSED**, and this matter be **REMOVED** from the Court's docket.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge VanDervort, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER: June 1, 2015


IRENE C. BERGER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

¹The docket reflects that the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation* mailed to the Petitioner was returned as undeliverable on May 13, 2015.